

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 16965 291704Z

51

ACTION L-02

INFO OCT-01 EUR-08 IO-04 ISO-00 FRB-01 OMB-01 TAR-01 SP-02

SWF-01 AGR-05 AID-05 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-04 INR-05

LAB-01 NSAE-00 OIC-02 RSC-01 SIL-01 STR-01 TRSE-00

CIEP-01 CEA-01 /048 W

----- 026629

R 291655Z OCT 74

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5944

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L BONN 16965

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: UNCTAD

SUBJECT: CHARTER OF ECONOMIC RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF
STATES

REF: A. STATE 235919; B. STATE 233034 (NOTAL)

1. EMBOFF MADE THE REPRESENTATION REQUESTED IN REF A
TO THE FOREIGN MINISTRY'S UN ECONOMIC AFFAIRS EXPERT
DITTMANN ON OCTOBER 29. EMBOFF HAD PREVIOUSLY BRIEFED
DITTMANN ON THE SUBSTANCE OF REF B. IN RESPONSE
DITTMANN STATED THAT THE OPTIMAL SOLUTION IN THE FRG
VIEW WOULD BE TO POSTPONE THE VOTE ON THE CHARTER AT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 16965 291704Z

THIS SESSION OF THE UNGA AND TO CONTINUE THE

NEGOTIATIONS DURING 1975. HE SAID THE FRG WAS NOT PRESSING FOR THIS APPROACH HOWEVER AND ADDED THAT THE GROUP OF 77 SPOKESMEN SEEM TO BE HEADING FOR A VOTE AT THIS SESSION.

2. DITTMANN SAID THAT THE FRG HAD STILL NOT YET DECIDED WHETHER IT WOULD VOTE NO OR ABSTAIN ON THE CHARTER AS A WHOLE. HE SAID THAT WHILE THE FRG WOULD CERTAINLY VOTE NO ON UNACCEPTABLE PROVISIONS ON INDEXATION, PRODUCERS' CARTELS AND EXPROPRIATIONS, IT WOULD WANT TO SEE THE FINAL TEXT BEFORE IT MADE ITS DECISION ON HOW TO VOTE ON THE CHARTER AS A WHOLE. IN RESPONSE TO EMBOFF'S QUESTION, DITTMANN SAID THE FRG HAD NOT YET DECIDED IF IT WOULD VOTE NO ON THE PRESENT TEXT AS IT STANDS. IN ADDITION TO THE TEXT ITSELF DITTMANN MENTIONED TWO OTHER FACTORS WHICH WOULD INFLUENCE THE FRG'S DECISION ON HOW TO VOTE. A MAJOR FACTOR WOULD BE THE NUMBER OF COUNTRIES WILLING TO VOTE NO. DITTMANN SAID HE THOUGHT THAT TWO OR THREE NO VOTES WOULD NOT BE ENOUGH. IN ADDITION DITTMANN SAID HE THOUGHT THAT EC COHESION WOULD BE AN IMPORTANT FACTOR IN THE FRG'S DECISION.
HILLENBRAND

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: CHARTER OF ECONOMIC RIGHTS & DUTIES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 29 OCT 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974BONN16965
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740308-0828
From: BONN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741011/aaaaaiyf.tel
Line Count: 84
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION L
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. STATE 235919; B. STATE 233034 (NO, TAL)
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <06-Aug-2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CHARTER OF ECONOMIC RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF STATES
TAGS: EGEN, UNCTAD, EEC
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005